

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>
	10/569,491	NAKAHARA ET AL.
	<b>Examiner</b> DAVID HUANG	<b>Art Unit</b> 2611

**All Participants:**

(1) DAVID HUANG.

**Status of Application:** \_\_\_\_\_

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(2) Mark Pratt.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 9 April 2010

**Time:** 2:15 PM

**Type of Interview:**

- Telephonic  
 Video Conference  
 Personal (Copy given to:  Applicant     Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:  Yes     No

If Yes, provide a brief description: . . .

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

1, 22-25

Prior art documents discussed:

**Part II.**

**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

*§112, 2<sup>nd</sup> paragraph issues with preamble language. Agreed upon changes will be made by examiner's amendment to place application in condition for allowance.*

**Part III.**

- It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/David Huang/  
Examiner, Art Unit 2611

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)